

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 195 (2003) 189-200



www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

Separate/simultaneous catalytic reduction of sulfur dioxide and/or nitric oxide by carbon monoxide over TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides

Zhang Zhaoliang ^{a,b,*}, Ma Jun^a, Yang Xiyao^a

^a Catalysis Division, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100081, PR China
^b Jinan High-Tech Development Zone, GongYeNan Road 28, Room 703, Jinan 250101, PR China

Received 23 April 2002; accepted 18 September 2002

Abstract

 TiO_2 -promoted cobalt sulfides, which were prepared by pre-sulfiding with H_2S/H_2 the titanium-cobalt oxides prepared by coprecipitation method in order to get an intimate contact between them, were studied for the separate and simultaneous reduction of SO₂ and/or NO by CO. Physicochemical characterizations of the catalysts before and after reactions were conducted to disclose the reaction mechanism by means of XRD, BET, XPS and transient MS techniques. It is found that TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides were more active catalysts for the reduction of SO₂ by CO than the mechanical mixtures of the components. There exists a strong synergistic promoted effect, which can be explained based on a COS enhanced redox mechanism proposed in the work. Furthermore, not only the addition of NO had little effect on this reaction, but also a combined reduction of SO₂ and NO by CO occurred in a gas mixture comprising very low concentrations of them. The reduction of NO is explained by a NO decomposition mechanism over sulfides with the formation of by-product, SO₂, based on the MS results. There also exists a synergistic effect between cobalt sulfide and TiO_2 . The produced SO_2 can further be reduced over TiO₂ to sulfur, which might spill over to cobalt sites to regenerate cobalt sulfide. The SO₂ + NO + CO reaction was proposed to proceed via two separate reactions of $SO_2 + CO$ and NO decomposition. However, the two reactions are interrelated. The reaction between CO and cobalt sulfide to produce COS intermediate is a necessity for the further reduction reaction of SO₂ on TiO₂; while SO₂, one of the products of NO decomposition on cobalt sulfide, is recovered to sulfur on TiO₂ by $SO_2 + CO$ reaction. Lastly, CO_2 was found to promote the production of COS, but has little effect at levels around 1000 ppm. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: SO2; CO; NO; Cobalt sulfide; TiO2; Catalytic reduction

1. Introduction

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitric oxide (NO) and carbon monoxide (CO) are three major air pollutants. They are usually emitted as by-products of combustion

E-mail addresses: zhangzhaoliang@jctp.gov.cn, zhangzhaoliang@sdu.edu.cn (Z. Zhaoliang).

processes from industrial, transportation and domestic activities and, in many occasions, simultaneously. Various processes are now under operation or research to remove SO₂ and NO separately or simultaneously [1]. Irrespective of the commercialization of numerous wet-scrubbing processes [1], dry flue gas desulfurization is highly desirable. Compared to the oxidation of SO₂ into SO₃ and further production of dilute sulfur acid, direct catalytic reduction of SO₂

^{*} Corresponding author.

^{1381-1169/02/\$ –} see front matter © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S1381-1169(02)00548-4

to elemental sulfur by various reductants such as CO, CH₄ or synthesis gas is emerging as a promising and high-effective technique [2]. However, the use of CH₄ as a reducing agent generally results in a much higher reaction temperature than CO [2,3]. Considering that the boiling point of elemental sulfur is 444.6 °C, the decrease of reaction temperature would become important and cost-effective.

The direct reduction of SO₂ by CO to elemental sulfur under dry conditions has been studied for a long time. Early developed are alumina-supported transition metals and oxides [4,5], but production of COS, which is much harmful than SO₂, usually proceeds to a substantial extent on these catalysts. The COS formation could be lowered by using the perovskite LaTiO₃ [6], and even completely removed over La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}CoO₃ catalyst [7]. Unfortunately, the perovskite-type catalysts lost their structure under reaction conditions. La2O2S was also reported to be active and selective above 500 °C [8,9]. Recently, Zhuang et al. [10] reported that complete conversion of SO₂ with negligible COS formation was achieved at 300 °C with CoMo/Al₂O₃. They suggested a COS intermediate mechanism:

$$\cos + \operatorname{SO}_2 \stackrel{\operatorname{Al}_2\operatorname{O}_3}{\to} \operatorname{S} + \operatorname{CO}_2 \tag{1}$$

Because this reaction is more facile over titania than alumina [11], titania is supposed to be more suitable for the $SO_2 + CO$ reaction. However, reports of Co₃O₄-TiO₂ mixed oxides [12] showed that complete SO₂ conversion took place nearly 100 °C higher than CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The authors [12] also proposed the migration of intermediate, COS, from CoS₂ to TiO₂, which then reacts with SO₂ or preferentially with TiO₂ to generate oxygen vacancies. Nevertheless, it is evident that simple mechanical mixture cannot provide a good interparticle intimacy allowing for very rapid diffusion between particles of any formed gas-phase intermediate. This was substantiated by a higher activity for the $SO_2 + CO$ reaction over the pelletized catalysts with small particle sizes of components of iron powder and alumina than their simple mixture with large particle sizes [4].

The removal of NO has been extensively studied in the recent years for environmental protection. NO reduction by CO is one of the fundamental reactions in the presence of CO, especially for the so-called three-way automotive catalysts (TWC). This reaction has been studied over noble metals [13–15], transition metals [16,17], perovskites [18,19] and mixed oxides [20]. However, few literatures have been concerned with the effects of SO₂ on the reaction. Since fuels often contain residual sulfur, any practical catalyst needs to be resistant to SO₂. In general, SO₂ is thought to be the most important poison for lean NO_x catalysts because of competing adsorption on the active sites of the catalyst with nitrogen oxide [21]. For instance, Gandhi and Shelef [22] found that the activity of NO reduction to N₂ over the γ -Al₂O₃ supported Pt and Pd catalyst was completely suppressed by the presence of very low levels of SO₂.

As to the simultaneous removal of SO₂ and NO, wet lime/limestone scrubbers for desulfuration and selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides with NH₃ have been commercialized [23], but the combination processes are complicated and produce sufficient amount of solid/liquid wastes that require further disposal. Therefore, the dry type sorbent/catalyst for simultaneous removal of SO₂ and NO was developed [24-26]. Since SO₂ is oxidized to SO₃ and then fixed on the catalysts as sulfates in the process, the sulfated catalyst must be periodically removed for regeneration and repeated use. In these regards, direct catalytic reduction of SO2 and NO to elemental sulfur and N2 respectively by CO in a one-way process has been under development. Kittrell and coworkers [27-29] reported that alumina-supported transition metals were effective catalysts. Unfortunately substantial amounts of undesirable COS formed are required to be eliminated in another catalyst bed. Recently, $La_2O_2S-CoS_2$ [30] and ceria-based oxide catalysts [3] have also been reported to be active. Especially for the later, at 500 °C, in the presence of high content of water, sulfur yield and NO conversion were 77 and 100%, respectively. However, both of the two kinds of catalysts suffer from a high reaction temperature.

It is known that both CoS_2 and Co_3O_4 have negligible activity for the SO₂ reduction by CO to elemental sulfur [8,12]. In a recent letter [31], we first reported cobalt sulfide and TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfide catalysts for NO decomposition and reduction by CO. Interestingly, the simultaneous catalytic reduction of NO and SO₂ by CO was conducted over TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides. In this paper, the detailed results will

be reported, and especial attention is focused on the mechanism aspects of the reaction system.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

TiO₂-promoted cobalt oxides (TiO₂:Co₃O₄ = 1:4, 1:1, 4:1, weight ratio) were prepared by coprecipitation method. The stoichiometric mixed solution of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Ti(SO₄)₂ and that of ammonia were simultaneously dropped into de-ionized water under vigorous agitation, and then the solution was aged for 30 min. The resultant precipitates were dried at 120 °C over night and calcined at 500 °C for 5 h in air. Pure titanium and cobalt oxides were also prepared by the same procedures mentioned above, and by using one of the precursors. An oxide catalyst was in situ sulfided in a flow of 5 vol.% H₂S in H₂ gas at 400 °C for 1 h, then cooled down to room temperature with Ar purge to eliminate adsorbed H₂S.

2.2. Reaction studies

The reaction was carried out in a fixed-bed flow reactor. The 0.3 g of 40–60 mesh oxide catalyst powder was packed in the reactor and in situ pre-sulfided. The model flue gases employed are shown in Table 1. Unless otherwise specified, the gas flow rate was held constant at 40 cm^3 /min. In some experiments, CO₂ was added to the feed gas. The products in the effluent stream were analyzed by a quadruple mass spectrometry (LZL-204, Beijing Analytical Instrument Plant). Elemental sulfur was condensed at room temperature. The SO₂ and NO conversions were calculated on the basis of differences between the inlet and outlet SO₂ and NO intensities, respectively. The data for steady-state activity of the catalysts were collected after 1.5 h testing.

2.3. Catalyst characterization

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku D/max 2000 diffractmeter employing Cu K α radiation.

The BET specific surface area was measured with a Micromeritics ASAP-2010 instrument.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were performed with a VG ESCALAB5 system.

The transient response technique was also used to elucidate the reaction mechanism. First, Ar flowed through the catalyst at the desired temperature, and the concentration step change Ar/NO + Ar, Ar/NO + CO + Ar, $Ar/SO_2 + NO + CO + Ar$ was then enforced by switching a four-way valve.

The mass-to-charge (m/e) ratios were monitored by MS as follows: SO₂ (64), NO (30), CO and N₂ (28), CO₂ and N₂O (44), NO₂ (46), COS (60).

3. Results

3.1. XRD analysis of fresh catalysts

Fig. 1 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns for titanium–cobalt oxides (1:4, 1:1, 4:1) calcined at 500 °C for 5 h. For comparative purposes, the XRD patterns of pure titanium and cobalt oxides at the same preparation procedure were also included, which showed the crystallite structures of Co_3O_4 and anatase TiO₂, respectively. It was noted that no diffraction peaks assigned to TiO₂ were observed in a 1:4 sample, suggesting either a high dispersion of TiO₂ or an incorporation of titanium ions into Co_3O_4

Table 1

Typical SO₂ and NO compositions of flue gas emitted from combusting coal with sulfur of 1-3 wt.% and model flue gas employed, GHSV = 8000 h⁻¹, balance gas Ar

Components	Actual flue gas, [32] (ppm)	Feed compositions employed	Feed compositions employed (ppm)			
		Separate reduction of SO_2 by CO to S	Separate reduction of NO by CO to N ₂	Simultaneous reduction of SO ₂ and NO to S and N ₂		
SO ₂	200-2000	1050	_	525		
NO	200-2000	_	1025	520		
CO		2085	2085	2085		



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of fresh catalysts. (a) Co_3O_4 ; (b) $TiO_2:Co_3O_4 = 1:4$; (c) $TiO_2:Co_3O_4 = 1:1$; (d) $TiO_2:Co_3O_4 = 4:1$; (e) anatase TiO_2 . (\blacksquare) Rutile, (\bigcirc) CoTiO_3.

lattice. On the other hand, rutile rather than anatase TiO_2 and a new phase, $CoTiO_3$, were formed in a 1:1 sample. This is very important, because pure TiO_2 calcined at the same temperature showed anatase, as shown in Fig. 1e. The addition of cobalt ions promoted the transformation of anatase to rutile [33], and hence increased the oxygen vacancies in the bulk [34]. While oxygen vacancy and mobility are thought to be important properties for the reduction of both SO_2 [35] and NO [36] by CO. In addition to rutile and $CoTiO_3$, a small amount of anatase was formed in a 4:1 sample. The BET surface areas of all samples were present in Table 2.

3.2. Activity of TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides

3.2.1. Separate reduction of SO₂ by CO

SO₂ and CO, at a low concentration, did not react with each other in absence of a catalyst even at temperatures as high as $950 \degree C$ [4]. As mentioned above,

Table 2			
BET areas	of the	catalysts	prepared

Samples (weight ratio)	BET surface area (m ² /g)		
Co ₃ O ₄	23		
$TiO_2:Co_3O_4 = 1:4$	64		
$TiO_2:Co_3O_4 = 1:1$	53		
$TiO_2:Co_3O_4 = 4:1$	54		
TiO ₂	109		

neither Co_3O_4 nor CoS_x was active for the reaction (8, 12), but rutile TiO₂ was confirmed to show activity only above 400 °C [12].

Fig. 2 shows the SO_2 conversion (a), selectivity towards elemental sulfur (b) and COS formation (c) as a function of temperature for TiO2-promoted cobalt sulfides in the separate reduction of SO₂ by CO. It is evident that adding 20 wt.% TiO₂ (1:4 sample) to the inactive Co_3O_4 (CoS_x) improved the catalytic activity significantly. At 300 °C, 86% SO₂ conversion and 100% selectivity to sulfur with no COS formation were observed. The maximum activity was obtained with 50 wt.% TiO₂ in the catalyst (1:1 sample). Catalyst with a high content of TiO₂ (4:1 sample), which is known to present a small proportion of anatase phase, was found to be less active at temperatures below 400 °C. However, the SO₂ conversion will be higher than that of 1:4 sample when the reaction temperature was above 400 °C, but still less than that of 1:1 sample.

3.2.2. NO decomposition and reduction by CO over cobalt sulfide

MS signals during the first contact with NO of cobalt sulfide (CoS_x) at 350 °C are plotted in Fig. 3a. The instantaneous N₂ and N₂O response curves showed that cobalt sulfide was active in NO decomposition in the absence of CO in the gas phase. However the sulfur-containing species, SO_2 (m/e = 64), was observed. The delayed response of SO₂ indicated that the oxygen from NO decomposition was first taken up by the highly reduced catalyst, and then reacted with sulfur species on the catalyst surface, that is the only known reservoir of sulfur for the solid. The catalytic activity of NO decomposition into N2 decreased with time. Introducing the reducing agent, CO, although cannot completely eliminate the formation of SO₂ (Fig. 3b), a steady state with more than 90% NO conversion at 350 °C was maintained for a longer time. No COS formation was due to the depletion of sulfur species available to react with CO.

3.2.3. NO decomposition and reduction by CO over TiO_2 -promoted cobalt sulfides after $SO_2 + CO$ reaction

Fig. 4 shows the transient MS results of TiO₂promoted cobalt sulfides after $SO_2 + CO$ reaction towards NO decomposition (a) and NO + CO reaction (b, c and d). In Fig. 4a, a rather long steady state





70

60

50

250

300

350

400

450

Fig. 2. SO₂ conversion (a), selectivity to elemental sulfur (b) and COS formation (c) as a function of temperature for pre-sulfided Ti-Co oxides (SO2 1050 ppm; CO 2085 ppm; Ar, GHSV = $8000 \,\mathrm{h}^{-1}$). (\blacksquare) 1:4; (\blacklozenge) 1:1; (\blacktriangle) 4:1.



Fig. 3. Transient response curves obtained over cobalt sulfide at $350 \degree C$ after switching from Ar to (a) NO+Ar; (b) NO+CO+Ar.

with high conversion of NO was achieved, although SO₂ by-product was formed as in the case of cobalt sulfides (Fig. 3). Compared with single cobalt sulfide, the reduction of NO by CO over TiO2-promoted cobalt sulfides was detected with the substitute of SO₂ by COS formation. As shown in Fig. 4b-d, even when the CO/NO molar ratio was one time higher than the stoichometric value for the NO + CO reaction, the production of COS is nearly negligible for 1:4 sample at the reaction temperature of 400 °C. It is reasonable to deduce that TiO2-promoted cobalt sulfide catalysts were comparatively stable at optimum reaction conditions, for example, at the stoichiometric ratio and suitable reaction temperatures. Fig. 5 shows NO conversion towards NO + CO reaction over TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides after $SO_2 + CO$ reaction. It is notable that NO conversions were nearly independent of the TiO₂ content. Therefore, the activity may only be associated with sulfide, while



Fig. 4. Transient response curves obtained over 1:1 sample (after SO₂ + CO reaction) at 350 °C after switching from Ar to (a) NO + Ar; (b) NO + CO + Ar over samples after SO₂ + CO reaction: 4:1 sample at 250 °C; (c) 1:1 sample at 350 °C; (d) 1:4 sample at 400 °C.

considering the absence of SO_2 formation on these TiO_2 -promoted sulfides, TiO_2 is supported to play an important role in the removal of SO_2 .

3.2.4. Simultaneous reduction of SO₂ and NO by CO

According to the observations on the separate SO₂+ CO and NO + CO reactions, at first, 1:1 sample was chosen for further SO₂ + NO + CO reactions. Fig. 6a shows the SO₂ and NO conversions and SO₂ selectivity towards elemental sulfur versus temperature. Even at 250 °C, 71% NO conversion and 84% SO₂ conversion with a selectivity to sulfur of 98% were achieved. However, because the amount of labile lattice sulfur that is available for the reaction with CO increased in proposition to the temperature increase, and CO is more than the stoichiometric value for the reduction of both SO₂ and NO (SO₂ + 2CO = S + 2CO₂; 2NO + 2CO = N₂ + 2CO₂), much COS formed at higher temperature, as shown in Fig. 6b,



Fig. 5. NO conversion towards NO + CO reaction over TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides after SO₂ + CO reaction as a function of temperature (NO, 1025 ppm; CO, 2085 ppm; Ar, GHSV = $8000 h^{-1}$). (\blacksquare) 4:1; (\spadesuit) 1:1; (\bigstar) 1:4.



Fig. 6. SO₂ conversion, selectivity to elemental sulfur and NO conversion (a), COS formation (b) as a function of temperature for 1:1 catalyst after SO₂ + CO reaction (SO₂ 525 ppm; NO 520 ppm; CO 2085 ppm; Ar, GHSV = $8000 h^{-1}$). (\blacksquare) SO₂ conversion; (\blacktriangle) SO₂ selectivity to elemental sulfur; (\bigcirc) NO conversion.

which resulted in a decrease of SO_2 selectivity to sulfur.

By balancing the conversion and selectivity of 1:1 sample, we evaluate the other two samples towards $SO_2 + NO + CO$ reactions at 350 and 400 °C. Results were summarized in Table 3. The data was collected after 12 h-long test, indicating the excellent activity and stability of these catalysts in the present reaction conditions. Fig. 7 shows the activation process of 1:4 sample at 350 °C in $SO_2 + NO + CO$ reaction. Since there are already plenty of atomic sulfur on the catalyst [37], COS was observed to form through the re-

Table 3	
SO2 and NO conversions and SO2 selectivity to elemental su	lfur
for 1.4 and 4.1 catalysts	

Samples	Temperature (°C)	SO ₂ conversion (%)	NO conversion (%)	SO ₂ selectivity to S
1:4	350	93	95	100
4:1	350	91	94	99
4:1	400	96	95	85

 $\mathrm{SO}_2,\ 525\,\mathrm{ppm};\ \mathrm{NO},\ 520\,\mathrm{ppm};\ \mathrm{CO},\ 2085\,\mathrm{ppm};\ \mathrm{Ar},\ \mathrm{GHSV}=8000\,\mathrm{h}^{-1}.$

action of S and CO, which increased gradually after a delay response. About 40 min later, the accumulated atomic sulfur was nearly used up. A steady state with the balance of COS formation and COS consumption through $SO_2 + COS$ reaction was constructed, COS decreased nearly to zero.

3.3. CO₂ effect

 CO_2 is produced by the present reaction and often present in the feed gas stream as main combustion product. Thus, its effect on catalysts activity and selectivity was briefly checked. As show in Table 4, addition of about 20 vol.% CO_2 into the reactant gas mixture at 400 °C cannot cause a significant decrease in both SO₂ and NO conversions, while SO₂ selectivity to sulfur decreased dramatically from 93 to 16%. This is because CO_2 has a tendency to lower the SO₂ conversion and promote the production of COS (Table 4). The conversion and selectivity were restored by decreasing CO_2 concentration to 0.1 vol.%.

3.4. XRD analysis of used catalysts

Fig. 8 shows the XRD patterns of the catalysts after pre-sulfiding or reactions. It is known that after pre-sulfiding in a flow of H_2S/H_2 gas, the oxide catalysts will turn into low-sulfur-containing sulfides [14], as the XRD pattern of pre-sulfided Co₃O₄, which showed a mixture of Co₉S₈, Co₆S₅, and Co₄S₃ (Fig. 8a). The low-sulfur-containing sulfides were then transformed into CoS₂ after the SO₂ + CO or SO₂ + NO + CO reactions. This was confirmed by Fig. 8b and c. For 1:1 sample, a small amount of CoS_{2-x} (e.g. Co₃S₄) in addition to the major phases CoS₂ and TiO₂ were detected. It is also found that



Fig. 7. Transient response curves obtained over 1:4 catalyst (after $SO_2 + CO$ reaction) at 350 °C after switching from Ar to 525 ppm $SO_2 + 520$ ppm NO + 2085 ppm CO + Ar.

Table 4 CO2 effects on activity and SO2 selectivity to sulfur for 1:1 catalyst at 400 $^\circ C$

CO ₂ content (vol.%)	SO ₂ conversion (%)	NO conversion (%)	SO ₂ selectivity to sulfur (%)	COS intensity (a.u.)
0	97	96	93	0.1E - 12
0.1	97	94	93	0.1E - 12
10	88	80	23	3.0E - 12
20	80	73	16	5.7E - 12



Fig. 8. XRD patterns of used catalysts. (a) Pre-sulfided Co₃O₄; (b) 1:1 sample after SO₂ + CO reaction; (c) 4:1 sample after SO₂ + NO + CO reaction; (d) 1:1 sample after SO₂ + NO + CO reaction; (e) 1:4 sample after SO₂ + NO + CO reaction. (\bigcirc) CoS₂; (\blacksquare) rutile; (\bigcirc) CoS_{2-x}; (\square) anatase.

a small fraction of anatase TiO₂ was present in the used 1:1 sample (Fig. 8b and d), suggesting the CoTiO₃ phase dissociation into anatase rather than rutile during pre-sulfiding by comparing Fig. 1c and Fig. 8b and d. As in Fig. 1b, no TiO₂ phase was detected in the used 1:4 sample (Fig. 8e) except that Co₃O₄ has transformed to CoS₂ and a small amount of low-sulfur-containing cobalt sulfide, CoS_{2-x}.

3.5. XPS analysis

The qualitative surface compositional analysis of the 1:4 sample by XPS is given in Table 5. The atomic

Table 5					
Surface compositional	analysis	of 1:4	catalyst	by XPS	

Atomic ratio	Ti/Co	Ti/O	Co/S
Bulk ^a	0.25	0.14	_
Fresh	0.43	0.081	_
Used	0.70	0.091	0.507

^a Based on stoichiometry.



Fig. 9. XPS spectra (Ti 2p) of 1:4 sample. (a) Fresh; (b) after $SO_2 + NO + CO$ reaction.

ratio of Ti/Co on fresh catalyst was about 0.43, almost double than the stoichiometric one. This suggests that the catalyst surface is segregated of titanium. However, after use further segregation took place, as indicated by the ratio of 0.7. The Ti/O and Co/S ratios after $SO_2 + NO + CO$ reaction were also shown in Table 5. Based on the value of Co/S, it is calculated that the cobalt sulfide on the catalyst surface can be expressed as $COS_{1.97}$, which is coincided with the XRD result of the used 1:4 sample (Fig. 8e).

Fig. 9 shows the XPS spectra of Ti 2p of the fresh and used 1:4 sample. The binding energies agree well with TiO_2 [38].

4. Discussion

4.1. Separate reduction of SO_2 by CO

According to the above results on catalytic activity, it is evident that there exists synergism for the reduction of SO₂ by CO on TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides. On the one hand, the XRD patterns of fresh catalysts show that pure TiO₂ calcined at 500 °C was anatase, but the addition of small proportions of cobalt promoted most of TiO₂ transformation from anatase to rutile, in conformity with the previous results [33]. Combined with the evidence of titanium segregation on the 1:4 catalyst surface after use, it is easy to think that rutile TiO₂ plays an important role in the SO₂ + CO reaction. On the other hand, the 1:1 and 4:1 samples shows negligible catalytic activity below $400 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ before pre-sulfiding, which suggests that the coexistence of cobalt sulfides is a necessary condition for the reduction reaction to be effective.

As mentioned in the XRD patterns of used catalysts, low-sulfur-containing cobalt sulfides after pre-sulfiding will be resulfidized in the process of $SO_2 + CO$ reaction, so all or most cobalt sulfides after use were present in CoS₂ phase. Furthermore, on the basis of COS formation and a small amount of CoS_{2-x} involving in CoS_2 , it is reasonable to believe that the reduction of CoS_2 by CO to the products of COS and CoS_{2-x} has established an equilibrium with the foregoing resulfidization process. The 4:1 sample, containing 20 wt.% of Co₃O₄ before pre-sufiding, only showed patterns of TiO_2 and CoS_2 , which is because the concentration of low-sulfur-containing sulfides was too low to be detected by XRD analysis. Hence, the $SO_2 + CO$ reaction was converted to the $SO_2 + COS$ reaction. The COS in turn is better than CO as a reductant for the reduction of SO₂ to sulfur with TiO₂ serving as the catalyst [12]. Therefore, the overall reaction follows the COS intermediate mechanism as proposed by [9,39]

$$\operatorname{CoS}_{2-x} + \operatorname{CO} \to \operatorname{COS} + \operatorname{CoS}_{1-x}$$
 (2)

$$4\text{COS} + 2\text{SO}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{TiO}_2} 3\text{S}_2 + 4\text{CO}_2 \tag{3}$$

$$\operatorname{CoS}_{1-x} + S \to \operatorname{CoS}_{2-x} \tag{4}$$

$$\operatorname{CoS}_{2-x} + x \operatorname{S} \to \operatorname{CoS}_2 \tag{5}$$

 $(0 \le x < 2)$

Reactions (4) and (5) are resulfidization processes. For reaction (3), Kim et al. [12] proved that it proceeded via a redox mechanism:

$$TiO_2 + COS \rightarrow TiO - [] + CO_2 + S$$
(3.1)

$$TiO - [] + SO_2 \rightarrow TiO - [O] + SO$$
(3.2)

$$TiO - [] + SO \rightarrow TiO - [O] + S$$
(3.3)

Overall, CO first reacts with CoS_{2-x} to form an intermediate, COS, which reduces SO₂ to CO₂ and elemental sulfur over TiO₂ via a redox mechanism, and the formed sulfur then resulfidizes CO reduced cobalt sulfides. We call it COS intermediate enhanced redox mechanism. The important aspect of this mechanism lies in the fact that SO₂ can easily react with COS at very low temperatures over TiO₂ to produce sulfur, which is otherwise impossible for CO. The mechanism is different from that of some mechanical mixture catalysts [8,12]. The produced intermediate COS and product sulfur need not migrate a long distance from CoS_{2-x} to TiO₂ to react with SO₂ and from TiO₂ to CoS_{2-x} to regenerate CoS_{2-x} , respectively, due to an intimate contact between them brought by coprecipitation.

In Ref.[10], Zhuang et al. reported that ca. 90% SO₂ conversion was achieved at 250 °C over pre-sulfided CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst. Although they did not give a definite mechanism, as COS intermediate was suggested to involve in the SO₂ + CO reaction, it is rational to deduce that it proceeds via a COS intermediate mechanism, as indicated by reaction (1). Conversely, a recent paper [11] has shown that Al₂O₃ was nearly inactive to the SO₂ + COS reaction at 250 °C. In contrast, TiO₂ showed a good activity at the same reaction conditions, though COS conversion from an initial value of 90 to 60% after a reaction of 4 h. The above result was another evidence for our proposed mechanism.

4.2. NO decomposition and reduction by CO on cobalt sulfide and TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides

From Figs. 3a and 4a, it is observed that the products of NO decomposition on cobalt sulfide catalysts were N_2 , N_2O intermediate and SO_2 by-product, while NO₂ and O₂ were not detected. Accordingly, a N₂O intermediate mechanism may be suggested:

$$\Box + 2\mathrm{NO}_{(\mathrm{ad})} \to 2\mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{ad})} + 2\mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{ad})} \tag{6}$$

$$2N_{(ad)} \rightarrow N_2 \tag{7}$$

$$NO_{(ad)} + N_{(ad)} \rightarrow N_2O \tag{8}$$

$$\Box + 2\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{(ad)}} \to \mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O} + \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{(ad)}} \tag{8'}$$

$$^{*}S + 2O_{(ad)} \rightarrow SO_{2} \tag{9}$$

where \Box is sulfur vacancy and *S is a sulfide ion in the lattice. Sulfur vacant sites will induce the dissociation of NO, whose function is analogous to the oxygen vacancies in transition oxides. The activity of NO decomposition decreased with the decrease of the SO₂ signal with time-on-stream, suggesting the consumption of lattice sulfur or the oxidation of the catalyst. N_2O can be formed by the reaction of NO with N dissociated from NO (Eq. (8)) or by decomposition of an NO dimmer (Eq. (8')), however the later can be excluded on the basis of the fact that N_2O yield did not decrease with the depletion of sulfur vacancies. As shown in Fig. 3b, introducing CO retards the oxidation of the catalyst by the reaction of CO and $O_{(ad)}$ to produce CO₂. Finally, the sulfide will lose its structure and turn into corresponding oxides.

Because cobalt sulfide (CoS_x) was completely inactive towards the $SO_2 + CO$ reaction [12,40], the measurement of NO decomposition was preformed directly on the in situ sulfided Co₃O₄. However, TiO2-prometed cobalt sulfides were measured after the $SO_2 + CO$ reaction (Fig. 4a). As seen in Fig. 8a and b, pre-sulfided Co₃O₄ showed a mixture of low-sulfur-containing sulfides, while 1:1 sample after the $SO_2 + CO$ reaction was transformed into CoS_2 and TiO₂ in addition to a small concentration of CoS_{2-x} . Since more amounts of sulfur in TiO2-promoted cobalt sulfides than in pure cobalt-sulfide can be obtained, NO decomposition thus sustained more time. TiO_2 is proposed to promote the extent of sulfurization by the transformation from the low-sulfur-containing sulfides such as Co₉O₈, Co₆O₅ and Co₄O₃ (Fig. 8a) to CoS_2 (Fig. 8b) during $SO_2 + CO$ reaction.

Comparing Figs. 3 and 4, it is understood that NO reduction by CO over TiO2-promoted cobalt sulfides proceed via a decomposition mechanism on sulfides. But this is different from pure cobalt sulfide, because no SO₂ was detected and COS was formed instead. The absence of SO_2 indicates that adsorbed oxygen from NO dissociation predominantly react with CO to produce CO₂. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 3b, not all of the oxygen adsorbed on cobalt sulfide reacted with CO to produce CO_2 . Some of them still combined with lattice sulfur to give off SO₂. From Ref. [12], we also know that rutile TiO₂ was not active towards the CO + O₂ reaction below 400 °C. On the basis of both observations, it is deduced that at least some oxygen from NO decomposition was supposed to react first with lattice sulfur to produce SO₂ rather than react with CO reductant in inlet gas to produce CO₂, as suggested by Zhuang et al. [41]. Considering the high activity for TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides in the reduction of SO₂ by CO, the produced SO₂ can easily be catalytically reduced to sulfur, which may spill over to cobalt sites to regenerate cobalt sulfide. This has been confirmed by the XRD patterns of catalysts after reaction (Fig. 8). If there were not TiO_2 added, as in Fig. 3b, the catalyst would eventually lose its activity due to the depletion of lattice sulfur. Thus, there also exists synergism between cobalt sulfides and TiO_2 for the reduction of NO by CO.

As evidence of above mechanism, TiO_2 -promoted cobalt sulfides were tested to be very effective catalysts for simultaneous catalytic reduction of NO and SO_2 by CO at especially low concentrations of them (Fig. 6 and Table 3).

In comparison of SO₂ and NO conversion in SO₂ + CO, NO + CO, and SO₂ + NO + CO reactions, it is found that the SO_2 conversion was not affected by the addition of NO in the measurement error range, while the NO conversion was lowered slightly by the addition of SO₂. Because SO₂ is one of the products of NO decomposition, the addition of SO₂ into NO + CO reaction thus caused a little suppression of the reaction. This is also evidence for the production of SO_2 intermediate in NO + CO reaction mechanism. However, as traces of COS may form in some cases in the NO + CO reaction, the existence of SO_2 in flue gas will be beneficial to the catalyst's stability. Therefore, the $SO_2 + NO + CO$ reaction can be thought to consist of two fundamental reactions of $SO_2 + CO$ and NO decomposition. However, as discussed above, the two reactions are interrelated. SO₂, a product of NO decomposition, should be further reduced to sulfur by $SO_2 + CO$ reaction.

On summary, both TiO₂ and CoS_{2-x} take part in the separate or simultaneous reduction reactions of SO₂ and/or NO by CO. Although the catalytic active sites are on TiO₂ for SO₂ + CO reaction, the reaction between CO and cobalt sulfide is a necessity. The same situation is for NO + CO reaction. NO decomposed into N₂ on cobalt sulfide, but the produced SO₂ should be further reduced to sulfur over TiO₂. The qualitative surface compositional analysis by XPS showed that titanium is segregated on CoS_{2-x} surface of 1:4 sample after use, which testified that TiO₂ played an important role in the reaction.

5. Conclusion

In the present study, it is revealed that the addition of TiO_2 -promoted the otherwise inactive cobalt sulfide to be an effective catalyst not only for separate but also for simultaneous reduction of SO₂ and/or NO by CO. Combined with the results of XRD, BET, XPS and transient MS techniques, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1. TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides exhibit outstanding activity and selectivity for the catalytic reduction of SO₂ to elemental sulfur by CO. The 1:1 sample shows 95% SO₂ conversion and 98% SO₂ selectivity to sulfur at 300 °C. This was lowered ca. 100 °C compared with the temperature at which nearly complete SO₂ removal was achieved on the mechanical mixture catalysts of the components, because the produced COS intermediate and S product need not migrate a long distance from CoS_{2-x} to TiO₂ to react with SO₂ and from TiO₂ to CoS_{2-x} to regenerate CoS_{2-x}, respectively, brought by coprecipitation. The synergism between CoS_{2-x} and TiO₂ is elucidated by a COS enhanced redox mechanism.
- 2. Cobalt sulfide catalyzes NO decomposition into N₂ and N₂O through formation of the by-product, SO₂. It lost the catalytic activity with the depletion of lattice sulfur or the oxidation of the catalyst. Adding reducing agent, CO, can suppress SO₂ formation greatly and thus promote NO decomposition, but this is not enough. The addition of TiO₂ prolonged the activity of cobalt sulfide for NO decomposition through that TiO₂ promoted the sulfurization extent of TiO₂-promoted cobalt sulfides in the process of SO₂ + CO reaction.

In the reduction of NO by CO over TiO₂promoted cobalt sulfides, the first step is NO decomposition on cobalt sulfide. The product oxygen might react with CO to give off CO₂, or react with active sulfur species to produce SO₂, which can further be reduced to elemental sulfur through the reaction of SO₂ + CO over TiO₂. The produced sulfur spills over to cobalt sites to regenerate cobalt sulfide. Thus, cobalt sulfide and TiO₂ had synergistic effect for the reduction of NO by CO.

3. The SO₂ and NO conversions and SO₂ selectivity to elemental sulfur were examined on the three different composition samples. Although the SO₂ + NO + CO reaction can be explained by two separate reactions of SO₂ + CO and NO decomposition, which proceed mainly on TiO₂ and cobalt sulfide, respectively, the two reactions are interrelated. The reaction between CO and cobalt sulfide to produce COS intermediate is a necessity for the further reduction reaction of SO₂ on TiO₂, while SO₂, one of the products of NO decomposition on cobalt sulfide, is recovered to sulfur on TiO₂ by SO₂ + CO reaction.

 CO₂ in flue gas promoted the production of COS, but it has little effect at levels around 1000 ppm on the simultaneous catalytic reduction of SO₂ and NO by CO.

References

- [1] J.N. Armor, Appl. Catal. B 1 (1992) 221.
- [2] T. Zhu, L. Kundakovic, A. Dreher, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, Catal. Today 50 (1999) 381.
- [3] M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, T. Zhu, Y. Li, Catal. Today 62 (2000) 145.
- [4] S.E. Khalafalla, E.F. Foester, L.A. Hass, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Rev. Dev. 10 (1971) 133.
- [5] D.B. Hibbert, A.C.C. Tseung, J. Chem. Soc. Farady Trans. 74 (1978) 1981.
- [6] J. Happel, M.A. Hnatow, L. Bajars, M. Kundrath, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 14 (1975) 154.
- [7] D.B. Hibbert, R.H. Campbell, Appl. Catal. 41 (1988) 289.
- [8] J. Ma, M. Fang, N.T. Lau, J. Catal. 163 (1996) 271.
- [9] J. Ma, M. Fang, N.T. Lau, Appl. Catal. A 150 (1997) 253.
- [10] S.X. Zhuang, H. Magara, M. Yamazaki, Y. Takahashi, M. Yamada, Appl. Catal. B 24 (2000) 89.
- [11] P.D. Clark, N.I. Dowling, M. Huang, Appl. Catal. B 31 (2001) 107.
- [12] H. Kim, D.W. Park, H.C. Woo, J.S. Chung, Appl. Catal. B 19 (1998) 233.
- [13] K.C. Taylor, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 35 (1993) 457.
- [14] M. Shelef, G.W. Graham, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 36 (1994) 433.
- [15] D.I. Kondarides, T. Chafik, X.E. Verykios, J. Catal. 191 (2000) 147.
- [16] Y. Okamoto, T. Kubota, Y. Ohto, S. Nasu, J. Catal. 192 (2000) 412.

- [17] Y. Hu, L. Dong, J. Wang, W. Ding, Y. Chen, J. Mol. Catal. A 162 (2000) 307.
- [18] L. Simonot, F. Garin, G. Maire, Appl. Catal. B 11 (1997) 181.
- [19] S.D. Peter, E. Garbowski, V. Perrichon, M. Primet, Catal. Lett. 70 (2000) 27.
- [20] I. Spassova, M. Khristova, D. Panayotov, D. Mehandjiev, J. Catal. 185 (1999) 43.
- [21] K. Arakawa, S. Matsuda, H. Kinoshita, Appl. Surf. Sci. 121/122 (1997) 382.
- [22] H.S. Gandhi, M. Shelef, Appl. Catal. 77 (1991) 175.
- [23] J. Blanco, A. Bahamonde, E. Alvarez, P. Avila, Catal. Today 42 (1998) 85.
- [24] S. Kasaoka, E. Sasaoka, H. Iwasaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 62 (1989) 1226.
- [25] S. Gao, N. Nakagawa, K. Kato, M. Inomata, F. Tsuchiya, Catal. Today 29 (1996) 165.
- [26] S.M. Jeong, S.D. Kim, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 39 (2000) 1911.
- [27] C.W. Quinlan, V.C. Okay, J.R. Kittrell, Ind. Eng. Chem. Process. Des. Dev. 12 (1973) 359.
- [28] V.N. Goetz, A. Sood, J.R. Kittrell, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 13 (1974) 110.
- [29] A. Sood, J.R. Kittrell, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev. 13 (1974) 180.
- [30] J.X. Ma, M. Fang, N.T. Lau, Catal. Lett. 62 (1999) 127.
- [31] Z. Zhaoliang, M. Jun, L. Zhaoqong, R. Shaoling, Y. Xiyao, K. Yuan, Chem. Lett (2001) 464.
- [32] G. Xu, B. Wang, H. Suzuki, S. Gao, X. Ma, N. Nakagawa, K. Kato, J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. 32 (1999) 82.
- [33] R.A. Eppler, J. Am. Ceram. Soc 70 (1987) C-64.
- [34] G. Sengupta, R.N. Chatterjee, G.C. Maity, B.J. Ansari, C.V.V. Satyanarayna, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 170 (1995) 215.
- [35] W. Liu, A.F. Sarofim, M. Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, Appl. Catal. B 4 (1994) 167.
- [36] Y. Wu, Z. Zhao, Y. Liu, X. Yang, J. Mol. Catal A 155 (2000) 89.
- [37] N.T. Lau, M. Fang, J. Catal. 179 (1998) 343.
- [38] C.D. Wagner, W.M. Riggs, L.E. Davis, J.F. Moulder, G.E. Muilenberg, Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy, Perkin-Elmer, Eden Prairie, Minnesota, 1978.
- [39] L.A. Haas, S.E. Khalafalla, J. Catal. 29 (1973) 264.
- [40] J. Ma, M. Fang, N.T. Lau, J. Catal. 158 (1996) 251.
- [41] S.X. Zhuang, M. Yamazaki, K. Omata, Y. Takahashi, M. Yamada, Appl. Catal. B 31 (2001) 133.